

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,266	12/15/2003	Michael John Rutter	CHM-009	3842	
38155 7590	10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
HASSE & NESB	BITT LLC		PATEL, N	AITAL B	
7550 CENTRAL P MASON, OH 45	-		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WINDON, OII 43	.010		3743		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,266	RUTTER, MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mital B. Patel	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04.10/12/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,266

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Depel et al (US 4,582,058).
- 4. As to claims 1-25, Depel et al teaches a tracheotomy valve unit adapted to cooperate with a tracheotomy tube inserted into a patient's trachea said valve unit comprising: (a) a first end adapted for connection to the free end of the tracheotomy tube; (b) a second end comprising a valve unit inlet; (c) a first valve 1 that permits airflow from the valve unit inlet through the valve unit and to the tube in the patient's trachea when the patient inhales, and blocks airflow from the tube through the valve unit when the patient exhales, said first valve comprising a seating ring 8, a thin, flexible

diaphragm 7 biased against the seating ring, thereby making positive closure contact therewith, and a rivet 9 for connecting the diaphragm to the seating ring, the rivet having a length to bias the diaphragm against the seating ring; and (d) a second valve (See Col. 6, lines 63-68 and Col. 7) that permits airflow from the tube through the valve unit and out the valve unit when the intrathoracic pressure during expiration is greater than about 12 cm of water, and blocks such aiirtlow when the intrathoracic pressure during expiration is less about 3 cm of water, wherein the second valve comprises a slit valve or an umbrella valve that is located in an axial bore hole of the rivet (See Cols. 3-10; See Col. 9 lines 33-57 which inherently teaches the pressure recited above). However, if Applicant believes that the above recited pressures are not inherent in the teaching of Depel, it should be noted in Col. 5, lines 38-45, Depel teaches that the blowout/second valve may be predeterminely tuned, i.e., the valve may be set at a certain pressure at which to open and close which is what Applicant has disclosed on the specification on page 4, paragraph 20. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the second valve opening and closing at a certain pressure based on the intended use of the valve.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-4802. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00-7:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,266

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mital B. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743